SHINRA2020-ML: Categorizing 30-language Wikipedia into fine-grained NE based on "Resource by Collaborative Contribution" scheme

Satoshi Sekine Kouta Nakayama Maya Ando Yu Usami Masako Nomoto Koji Matsuda Asuka Sumida 1-4-1 Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku, 15th floor Tokyo, 103-0027, Japan

SATOSHI.SEKINE@RIKEN.JP KOUTA.NAKAYAMA@RIKEN.JP MAYA@KZD.BIGLOBE.NE.JP YU@USAMI.LLC MASAKO.NOMOTO@RIKEN.JP KOJI.MATSUDA@RIKEN.JP ASUKA.SUMIDA@RIKEN.JP

Abstract

This paper describes a Knowledge Base construction project, SHINRA and particularly the SHINRA2020-ML task. The SHINRA2020-ML task is to categorize 30-language Wikipedia pages into fine-grained named entity categories, called "Extended Named Entity (ENE)". It is one of the three tasks conducted on SHINRA since 2017. SHINRA is a collaborative contribution scheme that utilize Automatic Knowledge Base Construction (AKBC) systems. This project aims to create a huge and well-structured knowledge base essential for many NLP applications, such as QA, dialogue systems and explainable NLP systems.

In our "Resource by Collaborative Contribution (RbCC)" scheme, we conducted a shared task of structuring Wikipedia to attract participants but simultaneously submitted results are used to construct a knowledge base. One trick is that the participants are not notified of the test data, so they have to run their systems on all entities in Wikipedia, although the evaluation results are reported for only a small portion of the test data among the entire data. Using this method, the organizers receive multiple outputs of the entire data from the participants. The submitted outputs are publicly accessible and are applied to building better structured knowledge using ensemble learning, for example. In other words, this project uses AKBC systems to construct a huge and well-structured Knowledge Base collaboratively.

The "SHINRA2020-ML" task is also based on the RbCC scheme. The task categorizes 30-language Wikipedia pages into ENE. We previously categorized the entire Japanese Wikipedia entities (920 thousand entities) into ENE by Machine Learning and then checked by hands. For SHINRA2020-ML task participants, we provided the training data using categories of the Japanese Wikipedia and language links from the page. For example, out of 920K Japanese Wikipedia pages, 275K have language links to German pages. These data are used to create the training data for German and the task is to categorize the remaining 1,946K pages. We conducted a shared task for 30 languages with the largest active users, and 10 groups participated. We showed that the results by simple ensemble learning, i.e., majority voting, exceed top results in 17 languages, thereby proving the usefulness of the "RbCC" scheme.

We are conducting two tasks in 2021, SHINRA2021-LinkJP and SHINRA2021-ML tasks. We will introduce these tasks in a later section of the paper.

1. Introduction

Wikipedia consists of large volumes of entities (articles), which are great resources for knowledge used in many NLP tasks. To maximize the use of such knowledge, resources created from Wikipedia are structured for inference, reasoning, or any other purposes in many NLP applications. Several machine readable knowledge bases exist, including Cyc [Lenat, 1995], DBpedia [Lehmann et al., 2015], Yet another greater ontology (YAGO) [Mahdisoltani et al., 2015], Freebase [Bollacker et al., 2008], Wikidata [Vrandečić and Krötzsch, 2014] and so on, each of them has problems to be solved. Recent KBs are created in a bottom-up manner by crowdsourcing, causing a significant amount of undesirable noises in the knowledge base. We believe that the structure of the knowledge should be defined in a top-down manner rather than a bottom-up manner to create cleaner and more valuable knowledge bases. Instead of existing cumbersome Wikipedia categories, we rely on a well-defined and fine-grained category. Extended Named Entity (ENE) [ENE-HP] is one of the well-defined name ontologies with 219 hierarchical categories and a set of attributes is defined for each category. We employed ENE for as the structure of the knowledge base in this study.

The automatic knowledge base construction (AKBC) and document categorization shared task have been popular for decades. These popular shared-tasks are common in the field of Information Retrieval, Information Extraction, Knowledge Base population, and attribute extraction, such as TREC, MUC, ACE, KBP [U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), 2018] and CoNLL. However, most of these tasks are designed only to compare the system performance and find the best ranked systems on limited test data. The outputs of the participating systems are not shared and the systems may be abandoned once the task is over.

Our contribution is geared to improve the situation by the following changes:

- 1. Designing the shared-task to construct a knowledge base rather than evaluating only on limited test data
- 2. Making the outputs of all systems publicly accessible so that anyone can run ensemble learning or other algorithms to create results better than the best single system
- 3. repeating the task with the larger and better training data from the output of the previous tasks

Project "SHINRA" started in 2017 with the aforementioned scheme, which we call "Resource by Collaborative Contribution (RbCC)" [SHINRA-HP]. The SHINRA tasks in 2018, 2019, and 2020 include the attribute extraction task in Japanese Wikipedia [Sekine et al., 2019]. Here, we report the first multi-lingual task and present future directions of the project.

The final goal of the SHINRA project is to create the structured knowledge base of Wikipedia, including the attribute. However, as a first step, we classify each Wikipedia entry into one or more ENE categories before extracting attribute values. The SHINRA2020-ML task resolves around classifying 30-language Wikipedia pages into ENE [ENE-HP] (ver.8.0) categories [SHINRA2020-ML-HP]. We classified most of the Japanese Wikipedia pages (920K pages) into the ENE categories already. We used language links to create the training data in 30 languages (for example, there are 275K language links from the Japanese

to German Wikipedia). So, the task is to categorize the remaining pages in 30 languages using the training data and evaluate the output based on a small portion of the pages that have no link from Japanese Wikipedia. This project is not only to compare the participating systems to see which system perform best, but also to create the knowledge base using outputs of the participated systems. We used the "ensemble learning technologies" to gather the outputs of the systems and create KB as accurately as possible.

2. Previous Work

Structured knowledge bases have been considered as one of the most important knowledge resources in the fields of Natural Language Processing. Previously, several major projects to construct structured knowledge bases have been conducted. One of the earliest projects is Cyc, and more recently are Wikipedia-based projects, such as DBpedia, YAGO, Freebase, and Wikidata. Moreover, some shared tasks aimed at building techniques for knowledge base structuring, such as KBP and CoNLL. Here, we introduce these resources and projects and describe the points we consider as issues to be solved in those projects.

Cyc ontology is a large knowledge base constructed as common sense knowledge[Lenat, 1995]. It was one of the large projects in AI between 1980 and 1990, which mainly used human labor to construct a knowledge base. The cost of construction and maintenance of handmade knowledge bases for the general domain was very high. The knowledge base suffered the "knowledge acquisition bottleneck" which includes problems in coverage and consistency.

DBpedia is a more recent project that constructs structured information from semistructured data in Wikipedia, such as infoboxes and categories [Lehmann et al., 2015]. However, DBpedia is challenged with inaccuracy, low coverage, and lack of coherence. Like Cyc, infobox and categories in Wikipedia are also created by humans, however, they are nonexperts of ontology. For example, we can easily notice that the categories are very noisy. In Japanese Wikipedia, "Shinjuku Station," which is a railway station, has a category "Odakyu Electric Railway", which is a major railway company using the station. However, a station is not an instance of a railway company, so this is not an appropriate category. There are so many examples like this in DBpedia. Also several inconsistencies are observed in the category structure, it is not even a hierarchy and there is a loop in the category structure. The attributes defined in DBpedia are not well organized in many categories.

YAGO is an ontology constructed by mapping Wikipedia articles to the WordNet synsets [Mahdisoltani et al., 2015]. Similar to DBpedia, YAGO adopts attribute information extracted from infoboxes because no attribute is defined in WordNet synsets.

Freebase is a project to construct a structured knowledge base using crowd-sourcing, similar to Wikipedia [Bollacker et al., 2008]. However, using the crowd-sourcing approach, Freebase does not have a well-organized ontology. It has many noises and lacks coherence since it was created using unorganized crowds. Currently, the Freebase project has been paused and integrated into Wikidata.

Wikidata aims to be a structured knowledge base, based on the crowd-sourcing scheme [Vrandečić and Krötzsch, 2014]. It has noises and lacks coherence since it was constructed by a bottom-up approach, similar to Wikipedia and Freebase. For example, by comparing the definition of a "city", "town" and "human settlements", we can easily observe inconsistencies

in the property (the numbers of properties are 30, 0 and 6, respectively), there are very biased properties such as "Danish urban area code" in "human settlements", there are many related ambiguous entities, such as "like a city", "city/town" and so on. Also, the category inconsistency is observed easily, for example, "city museum", "mayor" are subcategory of "city", although a mayor is not an instance of a "city." Wikipedia allows topics to be included in a category, however, this policy prevents making the category hierarchy a well-designed ontology.

KBP is a shared task organized by NIST for establishing a technology to construct a structured knowledge base from non-structured documents[U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), 2018]. It consists mainly of two tasks, one is Entity Discovery and Linking (EDL) which involves finding and identifying an entity defined in DB from documents. The other is Slot Filling, which involves extracting attribute information of the entity. It is a competition-based project and not for resource creation purposes.

Fine Grained Entity Recognition (FIGER) is a project to identify 112 named entity classes that are finely defined, such as ENE, from documents[Ling and Weld, 2012]. The category of FIGER is biased, and it does not have attribute definitions for each category.

3. Data

This section introduces two datasets used for the SHINRA2020-ML task. One is the ENE definition which is an ontology for the named entity, and hence, Wikipedia entities are categorized. The other one is the categorized Japanese Wikipedia data, which will be used to create the training data for 30 languages using Wikipedia's language links.

3.1 Extended Named Entity

To construct a structured knowledge base useful for NLP applications, well-structured ontology is essential and must be designed in a top-down manner. The structures of the knowledge base in DBpedia, Freebase, and Wikidata were created by crowds in a bottomup manner, and all have similar characteristics including inconsistent categories, imbalanced ontologies, and ad hoc attributes. This is majorly attributed to the bottom-up manner of their knowledge base design. A top-down strategy is essential to design the ontology and attributes consistently. For a top-down designed ontology for named entities, we employed the ENE [ENE-HP]. ENE is a named entity classification hierarchy that includes the attribute definition for each category ([Sekine et al., 2002], [Sekine and Nobata, 2004], [Sekine, 2008]). It includes 219 fine-grained categories of named entities in a hierarchy of up to four layers.

It contains not only the fine-grained categories of the typical NE categories, such as "city" and "lake" for "location", and "company" and "political party" for "organization", but also contains new named entity types such as "products", "event", and "natural object". These categories are designed to cover a wide range of entities in the general world, which are often mentioned in an encyclopedia and many other resources. Figure 1 shows the ENE definition, version 8.0. Attributes are designed so important attributes in the Wikipedia entities of each category are covered based on the investigation of sample entities. For example, the attributes for "airport" categories include the following: "Reading", "IATA code", "ICAO code", "nickname", "name origin", "number of users per year", "the year of

the statistic", "the number of airplane landings per year", "old name", "elevation", "big city nearby", "number of runaways". Please refer to the ENE homepage [ENE-HP] for the complete definitions.



Figure 1: Definition of Extended Named Entity Hierarchy

3.2 Categorized Japanese Wikipedia

We categorized 920K Japanese Wikipedia pages into one or more of the 219 ENE categories before this project. For the categorization process, we excluded the less popular entities, i.e. having less than five incoming links (151K entities), and non-entity pages (about 53K pages) such as common nouns and simple numbers. This categorization was done using the machine learning method followed by hand-checking all data [Masatoshi et al., 2018]. The accuracy of the categorization was confirmed as 98.5%. The remaining 1.5% cover those that are ambiguous and are difficult even for human annotators, or for those having multiple categories. Table 1 shows the most frequent categories in the data of 920K pages.

4. Task Description

SHINRA2020-ML is the first shared-task of text categorization in the SHINRA project. It tackled the problem of classifying 30 language Wikipedia entities in fine-grained categories with 219 categories defined in ENE (ver. 8.0). Participants selected one or more target languages, and for each language, the system classified all Wikipedia pages in the target language(s). We provided the training data for 30 languages, created by the categorized

1	0	*	
Category	Freq.	Category	Freq.
Person	247,983	School	23,609
City	45,306	Literature	18,515
Artefact other	$33,\!453$	Movie	17,901
Broadcast Program	32,050	Train station	15,863
Company	26,746	Sports event	15,863

Table 1: Most Frequent Categories in Japanese Wikipedia

Japanese Wikipedia of 920K pages and Wikipedia language links for 31 languages (including Japanese). Out of 2,263K German Wikipedia pages, 275K pages had a language links from Japanese Wikipedia, which served as silver (i.e. bit noisy) training data for German. Thus, the task became "to classify the remaining 1,988K pages into 219 categories, based on the training data" (actually, the participants are requested to categorize the training data with their system, as well). The same holds for the other 29 languages, as shown in the data statistics shown in Table 2.

4.1 Schedule

SHINRA2020-ML has been conducted according to the schedule listed in Table:3.

4.2 Participants

Ten groups from Seven countries participated in this study. The list of participant groups and the task they participated in are shown in Table 4. The description of most of systems are reported in the NTCIR-15 conference [Bui and Le-Hong, 2020] [Cardoso et al., 2020] [Abhishek et al., 2020] [Nakayama and Sekine, 2020] [Yoshioka and Koitabashi, 2020] [Nishikawa and Yamada, 2020] [Yoshikawa et al., 2020].

4.3 Evaluation Results

For each target language, a group can submit up to three runs based on different methods. A system classifies each page into one or more of the ENE (ver.8.0) categories correctly. The evaluation pages are selected from those not linked from Japanese pages. The selection method for the evaluation data is not disclosed since we can compare future results using the same data. Suppose the estimated category is not an exact match, the system get no score for that output. We evaluated the performance of systems on a multi-label classification using the micro average F1 measure, i.e. the harmonic mean of precision and micro-averaged recall. Note, the distribution of the category in the test data may differ from that of target or training data.

Table 5 shows the F-measure of participating systems. "Late submission" indicates those who submit the results after the deadline.

4.4 Results on RbCC

We tried a simple ensemble learning, called the majority voting. We used systems from all but one participants, which claims that they are not aiming at building a good performance

Language	num. of pages	Links from ja	Ratio
English (en)	5,790,377	439,354	7.6
Spanish (es)	1,500,013	$257,\!835$	17.2
French (fr)	2,074,648	318,828	15.4
German (de)	2,262,582	274,732	12.1
Chinese (zh)	1,041,039	$267,\!107$	25.7
Russian (ru)	1,523,013	$253,\!012$	16.6
Portuguese (pt)	1,014,832	217,896	21.5
Italian (it)	$1,\!496,\!975$	$270,\!295$	18.1
Arabic (ar)	661,205	$73,\!054$	11.0
Japanese	1,136,222	—	—
Indonesian (id)	451,336	115,643	25.6
Turkish (tr)	$321,\!937$	$111,\!592$	34.7
Dutch (nl)	$1,\!955,\!483$	$199,\!983$	10.2
Polish (pl)	1,316,130	$225,\!552$	17.1
Persian (fa)	660,487	$169,\!053$	25.6
Swedish (sv)	3,759,167	180,948	4.8
Vietnamese (vi)	$1,\!200,\!157$	$116,\!280$	9.7
Korean (ko)	439,577	$190,\!807$	43.7
Hebrew (he)	236,984	$103,\!137$	43.5
Romanian (ro)	391,231	92,002	23.5
Norwegian (no)	501,475	$135,\!935$	27.1
Czech (cs)	420,195	$135,\!935$	25.1
Ukrainian (uk)	881,572	181,122	20.5
Hindi (hi)	129,141	$30,\!547$	23.6
Finnish (fi)	450,537	144,750	32.1
Hungarian (hu)	443,060	$120,\!295$	27.2
Danish (da)	$242,\!523$	91,811	35.6
Thai (th)	129,294	59,791	46.2
Catalan (ca)	601,473	139,032	23.1
Greek (el)	157,566	60,513	38.4
Bulgarian (bg)	248,913	89,017	35.7

Table 2: Wikipedia Statistics in 31 languages

system, but aiming to show the degree of usefulness of Wikipedia category for this task. The results are shown in Figure 6. In this table, languages that achieved better results by majority voting than the single best system are marked green. Seventeen languages which got the better results, indicating a great performance for the RbCC scheme. In the table, "oracle F1" denotes the F1 score for selecting the correct answer from the pool of outputs by all systems.

Date	Event
January, 2020	Data release
April, 2020	Homepage and CFP open
August 31, 2020	Registration and Result submission deadline
September 16, 2020	Evaluation results due back to participants
Dec 8-11, 2020	NTCIR-15 Conference

Table 3: SHINRA2020-ML Schedule

Table 4: SHINRA2020-ML Participants

Group ID	Country	Participated Language
CMVS	Finland	1 (ar)
FPTAI	Vietnam	30 (all)
HUKB	Japan	30 (all)
PribL	Portugal	15 (ar, cs, de, en, es, fr, it, ko, nl, no, pl, pt, ru, tr, zh)
RH312	India	6 (bg, fr, hi, id, th, tr)
TKUIM	Taiwan	30 (all)
Ousia	Japan	9 (ar, de, es, fr, hi, it, pt, th, zh)
Uomfj	Australia/Japan	28 (except for el, sv)
Vlp	Vietnam	1 (vi)
LIAT	(organizer) Japan	30 (all)

5. SHINRA tasks

Project SHINRA has been ongoing since 2017. The tasks are not limited to only categorizing multi-lingual Wikipedia, but also entail the attribute extraction and linking tasks for Japanese Wikipedia, as shown in Table 7. We are conducting two tasks in 2021 [SHINRA-HP].

6. Conclusion

This paper report progress from the ongoing SHINRA project, particularly, the SHINRA2020-ML task. We proposed a knowledge base creation scheme, "Resource by Collaborative Contribution (RbCC)". The SHINRA2020-ML task categorizes 30-language Wikipedias into Extended Named Entity (ENE), the top-down definition of NE categories. Ten groups participated in the task, and the ensemble learning results show that the RbCC scheme is promising. Furthermore, we plan to conduct the SHINRA2021-ML and SHINRA2021-LinkJP tasks based on the RbCC scheme. We express our deep appreciation to all participants and collaborators who helped this project. With many participation, we can try ensemble learning and achieve the goal. We hope to expand the idea of the RbCC scheme to other ML tasks in general, not limited to sHINRA or similar task and resource.

Acknowledgments

	Group ID	FPTAI	LIAT	PribL	PribL	RH312	ousia	uomfj	uomfj	uomfj	FPTAI	HUKB	HUKB	HUKB	LIAT
	Method ID	BERT	ML-BERT	BERTGR U	BERTLIN CONCAT	RnnGnnXI mr	RoBERTa +wiki2vec +wikidata	jointrep	jointrepPo stprocess	jointrepUn ionPostpr ocess	BERT	AB	ABC	AC	ML-BERT
	Late Submission										Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ar	Arabic	73.25	63.16	76.27	75.45		70.52	64.55	64.55	64.55	73.25	30.98	30.98	13.51	-
bg	Bulgarian	83.77	75.20	-	-	82.13		83.07	83.07	83.07	83.28	60.86	61.06	28.09	-
ca	Catalan, Valencian	52.55	76.28	-	-			79.82	79.82	79.82	81.10	42.34	42.54	16.26	
cs	Czech	84.47	79.46	-	81.19	-	-	81.29	81.29	81.29	83.74	52.61	52.61	18.86	-
da	Danish	82.30	74.80	-	-	-		80.56	80.56	80.56	81.74	49.01	49.01	13.99	-
de	German	22.62	79.49	80.24	79.83	-	81.86	81.03	81.03	81.03	81.26	53.72	53.82	26.81	-
el	Greek, Modern (1453-)	84.40	72.43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84.10	7.51	7.51	7.51	-
en	English	82.23	78.56	81.27	80.12	-	-	82.73	82.57	82.68	81.96	45.11	45.11	11.92	-
es	Spanish, Castilian	80.60	77.73	80.30	80.72		80.94	81.39	81.39	81.39	80.60	49.21	49.11	19.50	-
fa	Persian	81.70	75.42	-	-	-	-	80.38	80.38	80.38	81.52	45.59	45.59	15.66	-
fi	Finnish	83.62	79.13	-	-	-	-	80.91	80.91	80.91	83.36	53.15	53.45	17.06	-
fr	French	21.59	76.88	77.93	78.52	80.31	81.01	78.21	78.21	78.21	80.68	43.84	43.74	11.23	-
he	Hebrew	83.79	79.11	-	-	-		81.09	81.09	81.09	84.21	59.95	60.05	15.78	-
hi	Hindi	76.43	16.49	-	-	71.70	69.75	66.67	66.67	66.67	75.65	39.70	39.51	22.02	-
hu	Hungarian	85.46	78.93	-	-	-	-	85.02	85.02	85.02	84.78	69.15	69.44	26.09	-
id	Indonesian	81.93	72.45	-	-	77.56	•	78.51	78.51	78.51	81.65	44.07	44.47	16.28	-
it	Italian	26.55	81.36	81.92	81.89	-	81.21	82.02	82.02	82.02	82.81	45.55	45.55	12.06	-
ko	Korean	83.67	80.38	81.51	81.04	-	-	82.51	82.51	82.51	83.77	63.68	63.98	13.95	-
nl	Dutch, Flemish	83.29	79.86	80.95	81.26			81.64	81.64	81.64	83.17	42.36	42.45	17.12	-
no	Norwegian	80.53	76.50	-	78.39	-		78.79	78.79	78.79	80.17	34.58	34.58	11.33	-
pl	Polish	84.53	80.60	82.73	83.46	-	-	84.52	84.52	84.52	84.07	62.72	63.51	32.55	-
pt	Portuguese	83.23	78.49	82.36	81.88	-	81.40	80.87	80.87	80.87	82.70	42.32	42.62	16.10	-
ro	Romanian, Moldavian, Moldovan	84.60	76.17					80.83	80.83	80.83	84.60	57.60	57.70	28.50	-
ru	Russian	84.08	79.09	82.60	83.07			82.90	82.90	82.90	83.44	42.04	42.24	11.30	-
sv	Swedish	83.18	71.63	-	-	-		-	-	-	83.44	50.32	50.62	21.98	79.58
th	Thai	81.26	49.58	-	-	76.77	76.36	65.02	65.02	65.02	81.16	39.98	40.38	24.05	-
tr	Turkish	86.50	77.19	84.36	83.23	83.28	-	84.85	84.85	84.85	86.03	61.88	62.48	16.73	-
uk	Ukrainian	83.12	78.71	-	-	-	-	81.61	81.61	81.61	82.61	60.29	60.19	22.51	-
vi	Vietnamese	80.34	75.24	-	-	-		77.06	77.06	77.06	80.42	60.38	60.48	22.14	-
zh	Chinese	81.25	77.97	78.38	79.37	-	79.76	78.58	78.58	78.58	80.60	21.22	21.42	17.57	-

Table 5: SHINRA2020-ML task Result

 Table 6: Ensemble Learning Result

ISO 639-1	Language	Group ID	Method	Precision	Recall	F1	Majority Voting F1	Oracle F1	Num Groups	Num Methods
tr	Turkish	FPTAI	BERT	84.22	88.92	86.50	87.38	92.71	7	12
hu	Hungarian	FPTAI	BERT	82.89	88.19	85.46	85.49	91.18	5	9
го	Romanian, Moldavian, Moldovan	FPTAI	BERT	81.40	88.07	84.60	84.47	91.97	5	9
pl	Polish	FPTAI	BERT	82.01	87.22	84.53	85.27	91.55	6	11
cs	Czech	FPTAI	BERT	81.31	87.88	84.47	84.52	90.59	6	10
el	Greek, Modern (1453-)	FPTAI	BERT	81.34	87.70	84.40	75.76	90.26	4	6
he	Hebrew	FPTAI	BERT	80.50	88.28	84.21	84.34	92.22	5	9
ru	Russian	FPTAI	BERT	81.59	86.73	84.08	84.73	90.50	6	11
bg	Bulgarian	FPTAI	BERT	80.94	86.81	83.77	84.74	91.04	6	10
ko	Korean	FPTAI	BERT	80.44	87.39	83.77	84.22	91.95	6	11
fi	Finnish	FPTAI	BERT	79.98	87.61	83.62	83.61	90.46	5	9
sv	Swedish	FPTAI	BERT	80.20	86.94	83.44	82.21	91.38	5	9
nl	Dutch, Flemish	FPTAI	BERT	81.27	85.41	83.29	83.85	90.73	6	11
pt	Portuguese	FPTAI	BERT	79.80	86.97	83.23	83.98	93.17	7	12
uk	Ukrainian	FPTAI	BERT	80.05	86.43	83.12	83.92	89.81	5	9
it	Italian	FPTAI	BERT	79.98	85.84	82.81	83.72	92.77	7	12
en	English	uomfj	jointrep	81.77	83.71	82.73	82.66	89.60	6	11
da	Danish	FPTAI	BERT	79.47	85.33	82.30	80.93	90.49	5	9
id	Indonesian	FPTAI	BERT	78.23	86.01	81.93	81.44	90.40	6	10
de	German	ousia	RoBERTa+wiki2vec+wikidata	82.59	81.15	81.86	82.45	90.63	7	12
fa	Persian	FPTAI	BERT	79.35	84.18	81.70	81.09	88.54	5	9
es	Spanish, Castilian	uomfj	jointrepUnionPostprocess	82.20	80.59	81.39	82.88	89.25	7	12
th	Thai	FPTAI	BERT	78.07	84.72	81.26	81.14	90.69	7	11
zh	Chinese	FPTAI	BERT	78.83	83.82	81.25	80.83	89.45	6	11
ca	Catalan, Valencian	FPTAI	BERT	77.34	85.25	81.10	80.57	91.11	5	9
fr	French	ousia	RoBERTa+wiki2vec+wikidata	81.09	80.93	81.01	81.92	90.32	8	13
no	Norwegian	FPTAI	BERT	77.58	83.71	80.53	81.27	89.44	6	10
vi	Vietnamese	FPTAI	BERT	77.61	83.43	80.42	80.16	91.62	6	10
hi	Hindi	FPTAI	BERT	73.67	79.41	76.43	73.67	84.51	7	11
ar	Arabic	PribL	BERTGRU	76.80	75.74	76.27	73.39	90.89	8	13
	MAX			84.22	88.92	86.50	87.38	93.17	8	13
	MIN			73.67	75.74	76.27	73.39	84.51	4	6

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20269633.

Task	Description
SHINRA2018	Extracting attribute values in 5 categories in Japanese
SHINRA2019	Extracting attribute values in 30 categories in Japanese
SHINRA2020-JP	Extracting attribute values in 45 categories in Japanese
SHINRA2020-ML	Categorizing 30-language Wikipedias
SHINRA2021-LinkJP	Link Attribute values to corresponding Wikipedia pages
SHiNRA2021-ML	Categorizing 30-language Wikipedias

Table 7: SHINRA tasks

References

- Tushar Abhishek, Ayush Agarwal, Anubhav Sharma, Vasudeva Varma, and Manish Gupta. Rehoboam at the ntcir-15 shinra2020-ml task. In *The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation* of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15), 2020.
- Kurt Bollacker, Colin Evans, Praveen Paritosh, Tim Sturge, and Jamie Taylor. Freebase: a collaboratively created graph database for structuring human knowledge. In SIGMOD '08: Proceedings of the 2008 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data, pages 1247–1250, New York, NY, USA, 2008. ACM. ISBN 978-1-60558-102-6. doi: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1376616.1376746.
- The Viet Bui and Phuong Le-Hong. Cross-lingual extended named entity classification of wikipedia articles. In *The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15)*, 2020.
- Rúben Cardoso, Afonso Mendes, and Andre Lamurias. Priberam labs at the ntcir-15 shinra2020-ml: Classification task. In *The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15)*, 2020.
- ENE-HP. Extended named entity homepage. In https://ene-project.info.
- Jens Lehmann, Robert Isele, Max Jakob, Anja Jentzsch, Dimitris Kontokostas, Pablo N. Mendes, Sebastian Hellmann, Mohamed Morsey, Patrick van Kleef, Sören Auer, and Christian Bizer. Dbpedia - a large-scale, multilingual knowledge base extracted from wikipedia. Semantic Web, 6(2):167–195, 2015.
- Douglas Lenat. Cyc: A large-scale investment in knowledge infrastructure. Communications of the ACM, 38(11):33–38, November 1995.
- Xiao Ling and Daniel S. Weld. Fine-grained entity recognition. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI'12, pages 94–100. AAAI Press, 2012. URL http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2900728.2900742.
- Farzaneh Mahdisoltani, Joanna Biega, and Fabian M Suchanek. Yago3: A knowledge base from multilingual wikipedias. CIDR, 2015.
- Suzuki Masatoshi, Matsuda Koji, Sekine Satoshi, Okazaki Naoaki, and Inui Kentaro. A joint neural model for fine-grained named entity classification of wikipedia articles. *IEICE*

Transactions on Information and Systems, E101.D(1):73-81, 2018. doi: 10.1587/transinf. 2017SWP0005.

- Kouta Nakayama and Satoshi Sekine. Liat team's wikipedia classifier at ntcir-15 shinra2020ml: Classification task. In The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15), 2020.
- Sosuke Nishikawa and Ikuya Yamada. Studio ousia at the ntcir-15 shinra2020-ml task. In The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15), 2020.
- Satoshi Sekine. Extended named entity ontology with attribute information. In the Sixth Internationa Conference on Language Resource and Evaluation (LREC08), 2008.
- Satoshi Sekine and Chikashi Nobata. Definition, dictionaries and tagger for extended named entity hierarchy. In the Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'04), 2004.
- Satoshi Sekine, Kiyoshi Sudo, and Chikashi Nobata. Extended named entity hierarchy. In the Third International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'02), 2002.
- Satoshi Sekine, Akio Kobayashi, and Kouta Nakayama. Shinra: Structuring wikipedia by collaborative contribution. In *Automated Knowledge Base Construction (AKBC)*, 2019. doi: 10.24432/C5VC73. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=HygfXWqTpm.
- SHINRA-HP. Shinra project homepage. URL https://shinra-project.info.
- SHINRA2020-ML-HP. Shinra 2020-ml homepage. URL http://shinra-project.info/ shinra2020ml/.
- U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). TAC Knowledge Base Population (KBP) 2017, 2018. URL https://tac.nist.gov/2017/KBP/.
- Denny Vrandečić and Markus Krötzsch. Wikidata: A free collaborative knowledgebase. Commun. ACM, 57(10):78-85, September 2014. ISSN 0001-0782. doi: 10.1145/2629489. URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2629489.
- Hiyori Yoshikawa, Chunpeng Ma, Aili Shen, Qian Sun, Chenbang Huang, Guillaume Pelat, Akiva Miura, Daniel Beck, Timothy Baldwin, and Tomoya Iwakura. Uom-fj at the ntcir-15 shinra2020-ml task. In The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15), 2020.
- Masaharu Yoshioka and Yoshiaki Koitabashi. Hukb at shinra2020-ml task. In The 15th NTCIR Conference Evaluation of Information Access Technologies (NTCIR-15), 2020.